IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2216 OF 2006

Maharashtra Suraksha Rakshak Aghadi — Petitioner
vs.
The Security Guards Board for Gr. Bombay and others. — Respondents

Mr.K.K.Singhvi, Sr.Counsel with Mr.Susheel Mahadeshwar with Ms.Ranjana Todankar for the petitioner.

Mrs.Lata Desai i/b.Ms.Pallavi Divekar for respondent no.1.

Mr.C.U.Singh, Sr.Counsel with Ms.Geetanjali Prabhu i/b.Trilegal for respondent no.2.

Ms.Sonia Sunil with Mr.B.K.Ashiok i/b.Bebay & Associates for respondent no.3.

CORAM : F.I.REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.

DATED : 9th October, 2006

P.C.

Rule. Heard forthwith.

2. Considering the limited controversy, the petition can be disposed of by issuing the following directions:-

(i) Respondent no.2 though employs guards is not registered with respondent no.1. Respondent no.2 will apply according to law for registration to respondent no.1 within 15 days from today. Respondent no.1 to consider the same and dispose of

the application at any rate not later than within two weeks from the receipt of their application.

(ii) In so far as the guards whose names are listed at Exhibit "A" to the petition have also applied to respondent no.1. Respondent no.1 to consider their application and dispose of the same at any rate not later than two weeks from today.

(iii) The status-quo in so far as the employees at Exhibit "A" is concerned, their employment will not be disturbed till their applications are disposed of by respondent no.1.

3. Rule made absolute partly accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

(F.I. REBELLO, J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.)